

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ph

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,396	07/29/2003		Jeffrey L. Johanning	ADV08 291 CIP2	3972	
7	590	01/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Duane Morris	s LLP		PATEL, ASHOK			
Suite 700					, ··-	
1667 K. Street	N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, I	OC 200	06	2879			

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)					
		10/628,396	JOHANNING ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ashok Patel	2879					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication.					
Status		•						
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 No	ovember 2005						
		action is non-final.						
3)□	, <del>_</del>							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37.CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	·					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment		<u></u>						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (						
3) 🔲 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

1. Upon review of previous restriction requirement mailed on 10/04/2005), the Examiner realized that the previous restriction was improper. The Examiner therefore, issues fresh restriction requirement as follows:

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a lamp, classified in class 313, subclass 567.
  - II. Claims 17-25, drawn to a mounting structure, classified in class 313, subclass 286.
  - III. Claims 26-29, drawn to a reflector, classified in class 362, subclass 341.
  - IV. Claims 30-31, drawn to arc tube holder, classified in class 313, subclass 292.
  - V. Claims 32-38, drawn to a method, classified in class 445, subclass 26.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not

require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, the lamp of claim 1 does not require a rigid frame, as recited in claim 19, or a pair of spaced apart arc tube holders as recited in claim 19, or a pair of spaced apart reflectors as recited in claim 22 or a pair of tube holders, as recited in claim 22 or a pair of tube holders, as recited in claim 25. The lamp of claim 13 or 14 or 15 further does not require a mounting structure as recited in claim 17 or 19 or 22 or 25. The subcombination has separate utility such as a computer case (box) a CRT, a regulator etc.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, the lamp of claim 1 does not require a reflector, as recited in claim 26. The lamp of claim 13 or 14 or 15 further does not

Art Unit: 2879

require a reflector, as recited in claim 13 or 14 or 15. The subcombination has separate utility such as a display device.

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, the lamp of claim 1 or 13 or 14 or 15 does not require an arc tube holder, as recited in claim 30. The subcombination has separate utility such as a display device. The subcombination has separate utility such as a projector device.

Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, the lamp of claim 1 or 13 or 14 or 15 does not require

Art Unit: 2879

an arc tube holder, as recited in claim 30. The subcombination has separate utility such as a display device. The subcombination has separate utility such as a projector device.

Inventions V and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the lamp of claim does not require arc tube having pinched end, as recited in claim 38, or the arc tube holder having cantilevered portions, as recited in claim 38.

Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, the mounting structure of claim 17 or 19 or 21 or 22 or 25 does not require a reflector, as recited in claim 26. The subcombination has separate utility such as a display device.

Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)).

In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because, the mounting structure of claim 17 or 19 or 21 or 22 or 25 does not require arc tube holder, as recited in claim 30. The subcombination has separate utility such as a projector device.

Inventions V and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the mounting structure of claim 17 or 19 or 21 or 22 or 25 does not require reflectors or arc tube having pinched ends, as recited in claim 32, or the arc tube holder having cantilevered portions, as recited in claim 38.

Inventions III and IV are divergent.

Inventions III and V are divergent.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,396

Art Unit: 2879

Inventions V and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

Page 7

In the instant case, the arc tube holder of claim 30 does not require the outer lamp envelope or stem assembly or elongated frame spaced apart reflectors, as recited in claim 32, or the outer lamp envelope or arc tube having pinch ends or the arc tube holders having cantilevered portions, as recited in claim 38.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II or III or IV or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,396

Art Unit: 2879

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Joseph English on 01/23/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 8

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,396 Page 9

Art Unit: 2879

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ashok Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879